

University of Groningen

The evaluation of complex infrastructure projects

Gerrits, Lasse; Verweij, Stefan

DOI:
[10.4337/9781783478422](https://doi.org/10.4337/9781783478422)

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:
2018

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Gerrits, L., & Verweij, S. (2018). *The evaluation of complex infrastructure projects: A guide to qualitative comparative analysis*. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781783478422>

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

References

- Abbott, A. (1992). From causes to events: Notes on narrative positivism. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 20(4), 428–55.
- Abbott, A. (1993). Measure for measure: Abell's narrative methods. *The Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, 18(2–3), 203–14.
- Abbott, A. (2001). *Time Matters: On Theory and Method*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Abell, P. (1984). Comparative narratives: Some rules for the study of action. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 14(3), 309–31.
- Abell, P. (2004). Narrative explanation: An alternative to variable-centered explanation? *Annual Review of Sociology*, 30(1), 287–310.
- Adam, B. (1990). *Time and Social Theory*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Adam, B. (1995). *Timewatch: The Social Analysis of Time*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Adler, P.A., and P. Adler (1998). Observational techniques. In N.K. Denzin and Y.S. Lincoln (eds), *Collecting and Interpreting Qualitative Materials* (pp. 77–109). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- A-Lanes A15 (2010). Final press release: Rijkswaterstaat has named A-Lanes A15 preferred bidder for PPP project to increase capacity of motorway A15. *Bloomberg*.
- A-Lanes A15 (2013). Verbreding A15 tussen Maasvlakte-Vaanplein: Brughefinstallatie nieuwe Botlekbrug. *A-Lanes A15*.
- Amenta, E., and J.D. Poulsen (1994). Where to begin: A survey of five approaches to selecting independent variables for qualitative comparative analysis. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 23(1), 22–53.
- Aminzade, R. (1992). Historical sociology and time. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 20(4), 456–80.
- Anguera, R. (2006). The Channel Tunnel: An ex post economic evaluation. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 40(4), 291–315.
- Arnstein, S.R. (1971). Eight rungs on the ladder of citizen participation. In E.S. Cahn and B.A. Passet (eds), *Citizen Participation: Effecting Community Change* (pp. 69–91). New York: Praeger.
- Ashby, W.R. (1962). Principles of the self-organizing system. In H. Von Foerster and G.W. Zopf (eds), *Principles of Self-Organization*:

- Transactions of the University of Illinois Symposium* (pp.255–78). London: Pergamon Press.
- Atkinson, R. (1999). Project management: Cost, time and quality, two best guesses and a phenomenon, its time to accept other success criteria. *International Journal of Project Management*, 17(6), 337–42.
- Aus, J.P. (2007). Conjunctural causation in comparative case-oriented research. *Quality and Quantity*, 43(2), 173–83.
- Babbie, E. (2004). *The Practice of Social Research*. Belmont: Wadsworth.
- Baccarini, D. (1996). The concept of project complexity: A review. *International Journal of Project Management*, 14(4), 201–4.
- Bakker, R.M., B. Cambré, L. Korlaar, and J. Raab (2011). Managing the project learning paradox: A set-theoretic approach toward project knowledge transfer. *International Journal of Project Management*, 29(5), 494–503.
- Barnes, M., E. Matka, and H. Sullivan (2003). Evidence, understanding and complexity: Evaluation in non-linear systems. *Evaluation*, 9(3), 265–84.
- Basurto, X. (2013). Linking multi-level governance to local common-pool resource theory using fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis: Insights from twenty years of biodiversity conservation in Costa Rica. *Global Environmental Change*, 23(3), 573–87.
- Basurto, X., and J. Speer (2012). Structuring the calibration of qualitative data as sets for qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). *Field Methods*, 24(2), 155–74.
- Beach, D., and I. Rohlfing (2018). Integrating cross-case analyses and process tracing in set-theoretic research: Strategies and parameters of debate. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 47(1), 3–36.
- Befani, B. (2013). Between complexity and generalization: Addressing evaluation challenges with QCA. *Evaluation*, 19(3), 269–83.
- Befani, B., and F. Sager (2006). QCA as a tool for realistic evaluations: The case of the Swiss environmental impact assessment. In B. Rihoux and H. Grimm (eds), *Innovative Comparative Methods for Policy Analysis: Beyond the Quantitative-Qualitative Divide* (pp. 263–84). New York: Springer.
- Befani, B., S. Ledermann, and F. Sager (2007). Realistic evaluation and QCA: Conceptual parallels and an empirical application. *Evaluation*, 13(2), 171–92.
- Beitsch, O.M., and W.C. Lawther (2015). Avoiding public–private partnership failure: Linking transportation infrastructure with economic development. In W.C. Lawther and L.L. Martin (eds), *Private Financing of Public Transportation Infrastructure: Utilizing Public Private Partnerships* (pp.211–28). London: Lexington Books.

- Benjamin, D., J. Berger, M. Johannesson, B. Nosek, E. Wagenmakers, R. Berk, . . . V. Johnson (2017). *Redefine Statistical Significance*. The Field Experiments Website. Retrieved from <http://econpapers.repec.org/paper/febartefa/00612.htm>.
- Berg, B.L. (2007). *Qualitative Research Methods for the Social Sciences*. Boston: Pearson.
- Berg, B.L., and H. Lune (2011). *Qualitative Research Methods for the Social Sciences*. Boston: Pearson.
- Berg-Schlosser, D., and G. De Meur (2009). Comparative research design: Case and variable selection. In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 19–32). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Berg-Schlosser, D., G. De Meur, B. Rihoux, and C.C. Ragin (2009). Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) as an approach. In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp.1–18). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Bertelsen, S. (2003). Construction as a complex system. *Proceedings of the International Group for Lean Construction, 11*.
- Bijker, W.E. (1997). *Of Bicycles, Bakelites, and Bulbs: Toward a Theory of Sociotechnical Change*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Blackman, T., J. Wistow, and D.S. Byrne (2013). Using qualitative comparative analysis to understand complex policy problems. *Evaluation, 19*(2), 126–40.
- Blanchard, P., F. Bühlmann, and J.A. Gauthier (eds) (2014). *Advances in Sequence Analysis: Theory, Method, Applications*. New York: Springer.
- Blatter, J., and M. Haverland (2012). *Designing Case Studies: Explanatory Approaches in Small-n Research*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Boeijs, H. (2010). *Analysis in Qualitative Research*. London: Sage.
- Boons, F.A.A., W.A.H. Spekkink, and W. Jiao (2014). A process perspective on industrial symbiosis. *Journal of Industrial Ecology, 18*(3), 341–55.
- Bosch-Rekvelde, M.G.C. (2011). *Managing Project Complexity: A Study into Adapting Early Project Phases to Improve Project Performance in Large Engineering Projects*. Delft: Delft University of Technology.
- Boudet, H., D.C. Jayasundera, and J. Davis (2011). Drivers of conflict in developing country infrastructure projects: Experience from the water and pipeline sectors. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 137*(7), 498–511.
- Bowen, G.A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal, 9*(2), 27–40.
- Bressers, N. (2011). *Co-creating Innovation: A Systemic Learning*

- Evaluation of Knowledge and Innovation Programmes*. Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Bressers, N., and L.M. Gerrits (2015). A complexity-informed approach to evaluating national knowledge and innovation programmes. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 32(1), 50–63.
- Bressers, N., J. Edelenbos, I.F. Van Meerkerk, and S. Verweij (2015). Management van grilligheid in stedelijke projecten. *Rooilijn*, 48(3), 204–9.
- Brito, T.L.F., E. Moutinho dos Santos, R. Galbieri, and H.K. De Medeiros Costa (2017). Qualitative comparative analysis of cities that introduced compressed natural gas to their urban bus fleet. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 71, 502–8.
- Buijs, J.M., J. Eshuis, and D.S. Byrne (2009). Approaches to researching complexity in public management. In G.R. Teisman, A. Van Buuren, and L.M. Gerrits (eds), *Managing Complex Governance Systems: Dynamics, Self-Organization and Coevolution in Public Investments* (pp.37–55). New York: Routledge.
- Busscher, T., S. Verweij, M. Van den Brink, J. Edelenbos, R. Bouwman, and J. Van den Broek (2017). *Het Organiseren van Ruimtelijke Kwaliteit: Inzichten uit Ruimte voor de Rivier*. Groningen: Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.
- Byrne, D.S. (2002). *Interpreting Quantitative Data*. London: Sage.
- Byrne, D.S. (2005). Complexity, configurations and cases. *Theory, Culture and Society*, 22(5), 95–111.
- Byrne, D.S. (2009a). Case-based methods: Why we need them; what they are; how to do them. In D.S. Byrne and C.C. Ragin (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods* (pp.1–10). London: Sage.
- Byrne, D.S. (2009b). Complex realist and configurational approaches to cases: A radical synthesis. In D.S. Byrne and C.C. Ragin (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods* (pp.101–19). London: Sage.
- Byrne, D.S. (2011a). *Applying Social Science: The Role of Social Research in Politics, Policy and Practice*. Bristol: The Policy Press.
- Byrne, D.S. (2011b). Exploring organizational effectiveness: The value of complex realism as a frame of reference and systematic comparison as a method. In P. Allen, S. Maguire, and B. McKelvey (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Complexity and Management* (pp.131–41). London: Sage.
- Byrne, D.S. (2013). Evaluating complex social interventions in a complex world. *Evaluation*, 19(3), 217–28.
- Byrne, D.S., and G. Callaghan (2014). *Complexity Theory and the Social Sciences: The State of the Art*. London: Routledge.
- Byrne, D.S., and C.C. Ragin (2009). *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods*. London: Sage.

- Cairns, J.M., J. Wistow, and C. Bamba (2017). Making the case for qualitative comparative analysis in geographical research: A case study of health resilience. *Area*, 49(3), 369–76.
- Cantarelli, C.C. (2011). *Cost Overruns in Large-Scale Transport Infrastructure Projects: A Theoretical and Empirical Exploration for the Netherlands and Worldwide*. Delft: Delft University of Technology.
- Cantarelli, C.C., B. Van Wee, E.J.E. Molin, and B. Flyvbjerg (2012). Different cost performance: different determinants? The case of cost overruns in Dutch transport infrastructure projects. *Transport Policy*, 22, 88–95.
- Caren, N., and A. Panofsky (2005). TQCA: A technique for adding temporality to qualitative comparative analysis. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 34(2), 147–72.
- Chai, Y., and M. Schoon (2016). Institutions and government efficiency: Decentralized irrigation management in China. *International Journal of the Commons*, 10(1), 21–44.
- Charoenngam, C., and C.Y. Yeh (1999). Contractual risk and liability sharing in hydropower construction. *International Journal of Project Management*, 17(1), 29–37.
- Chatterley, C., K.G. Linden, and A. Javernick-Will (2013). Identifying pathways to continued maintenance of school sanitation in Belize. *Journal of Water, Sanitation and Hygiene for Development*, 3(3), 441–2.
- Chatterley, C., A. Javernick-Will, K.G. Linden, K. Alam, L. Bottinelli, and M. Venkatesh (2014). A qualitative comparative analysis of well-managed school sanitation in Bangladesh. *BMC Public Health*, 14(6).
- Checkland, P. (1981). *Systems Thinking, Systems Practice*. New York: Wiley.
- Checkland, P., and S. Holwell (1998). *Information, Systems and Information Systems: Making Sense of the Field*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Cilliers, P. (2001). Boundaries, hierarchies and networks in complex systems. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 5(2), 135–47.
- Collignon-Havinga, R., M. Menke, W. Oosterwijk, J. Van der Grift, and M. Tilstra (2009). *Ruimtelijke Kwaliteit bij Ruimte voor de Rivier: Rollen, Taken, Organisatie en Werkwijze*. Utrecht: Ruimte voor de Rivier.
- Cook, S.D.N., and H. Wagenaar (2012). Navigating the eternally unfolding present: Toward an epistemology of practice. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 42(1), 3–38.
- Cooper, B., and J. Glaesser (2016). Qualitative comparative analysis, necessary conditions, and limited diversity: Some problematic consequences of Schneider and Wagemann's enhanced standard analysis. *Field Methods*, 28(3), 300–305.
- Cooper, B., J. Glaesser, and S. Thomson (2014). Schneider and Wagemann's

- proposed enhanced standard analysis for Ragin's qualitative comparative analysis: Some unresolved problems and some suggestions for addressing them. *Compass Working Paper* (77).
- Corbin, J.M., and A.L. Strauss (2015). *Basics of Qualitative Research: Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory*. Los Angeles: Sage.
- Cronqvist, L. (2004). Presentation of Tosmana: Adding multi-value variables and visual aids to QCA. *Compass Working Paper* (20).
- Cronqvist, L. (2016). *Tosmana: Tool for cs-, mv-, and fsQCA* (Version 1.5.2.2). Trier: University of Trier.
- Cronqvist, L., and D. Berg-Schlosser (2009). Multi-value QCA (mvQCA). In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 69–86). London: Sage.
- Dainty, A. (2008). Methodological pluralism in construction management research. In A. Knight and L. Ruddock (eds), *Advanced Research Methods in the Built Environment* (pp. 1–13). Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- De Block, D., and B. Vis (2017). Addressing the challenges in using qualitative data in qualitative comparative analysis. *Compass Working Paper* (88).
- De Bruijn, H., and M. Leijten (2008). Management characteristics of mega-projects. In H. Priemus, B. Flyvbjerg, and B. Van Wee (eds), *Decision Making on Mega-Projects: Cost-Benefit Analysis, Planning and Innovation* (pp. 23–39). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- De Meur, G., B. Rihoux, and S. Yamasaki (2009). Addressing the critiques of QCA. In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 147–65). Los Angeles: Sage.
- De Schepper, S., M. Dooms, and E. Haezendonck (2014). Stakeholder dynamics and responsibilities in public–private partnerships: A mixed experience. *International Journal of Project Management*, 32(7), 1210–22.
- Delhi, V.S.K., A. Mahalingam, and S. Palukuri (2012). Governance issues in BOT based PPP infrastructure projects in India. *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*, 2(2), 234–49.
- Denzin, N.K. (1970). *The Research Act: A Theoretical Introduction to Sociological Methods*. New York: Transaction Publishers.
- Dimitriou, H.T. (2014). Some concluding remarks. *Planning Theory and Practice*, 15(3), 428–30.
- Dimitriou, H.T., E.J. Ward, and P.G. Wright (2013). Mega transport projects: Beyond the 'iron triangle': Findings from the OMEGA research programme. *Progress in Planning*, 86, 1–43.

- Downs, A. (1957). *An Economic Theory of Democracy*. New York: Harper and Row.
- Dul, J., T. Hak, G. Goertz, and C. Voss (2010). Necessary condition hypotheses in operations management. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 30(11), 1170–90.
- Duša, A. (2007). User manual for the QCA(GUI) package in R. *Journal of Business Research*, 60(5), 576–86.
- Edelenbos, J. (2005). Institutional implications of interactive governance: Insights from Dutch practice. *Governance*, 18(1), 111–34.
- Edelenbos, J., and E.H. Klijn (2009). Project versus process management in public–private partnership: Relation between management style and outcomes. *International Public Management Journal*, 12(3), 310–31.
- Edelenbos, J., and G.R. Teisman (2008). Public–private partnership on the edge of project and process management: Insights from Dutch practice: The Sijtwende spatial development project. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 26(3), 614–26.
- Eisner, E.W. (1997). *The Enlightened Eye: Qualitative Inquiry and the Enhancement of Educational Practice*. Upper Saddle River: Pearson.
- Engwall, M. (2003). No project is an island: Linking projects to history and context. *Research Policy*, 32(5), 789–808.
- European PPP Expertise Centre (2011). *The Guide to Guidance: How to Prepare, Procure and Deliver PPP Projects*. Luxembourg: European Investment Bank.
- Eversdijk, A.W.W., M.C.J. Nagelkerke, and C. Sewbalak (2011). *Evaluatie DBFM Aanbestedingen: Ervaringen Delen Werkt*. Utrecht: Rijkswaterstaat Dienst Infrastructuur and PPS Kennispool.
- Feddes, Y., and A. Hinz (2013). *Procesevaluatie Ruimte voor de Rivier: Ruimtelijke Kwaliteit in Uitvoering*. Utrecht: Feddes/Olthof Landschapsarchitecten.
- Fellows, R.F., and A.M.M. Liu (2015). *Research Methods for Construction*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Fischer, F. (1990). *Technocracy and the Politics of Expertise*. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
- Fischer, F. (2003). *Reframing Public Policy: Discursive Politics and Deliberative Practices*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Fischer, M., and M. Maggetti (2017). Qualitative comparative analysis and the study of policy processes. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice*, 19(4), 345–61.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2001). *Making Social Science Matter: Why Social Inquiry Fails and How It Can Succeed Again*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2009a). Optimism and misrepresentation in early project

- development. In T. Williams, K. Samset, and K. Sunnevåg (eds), *Making Essential Choices with Scant Information: Front-End Decision Making in Major Projects* (pp. 147–68). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2009b). Survival of the unfittest: Why the worst infrastructure gets built – and what we can do about it. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 25(3), 344–67.
- Flyvbjerg, B. (2014). What you should know about megaprojects and why: An overview. *Project Management Journal*, 45(2), 6–19.
- Flyvbjerg, B., M.K.S. Holm, and S. Buhl (2002). Underestimating costs in public works projects: Error or lie? *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 68(3), 279–95.
- Flyvbjerg, B., N. Bruzelius, and W. Rothengatter (2003a). *Megaprojects and Risk: An Anatomy of Ambition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Flyvbjerg, B., M.K.S. Holm, and S.L. Buhl (2003b). How common and how large are cost overruns in transport infrastructure projects? *Transport Reviews*, 23(1), 71–88.
- Flyvbjerg, B., M.K.S. Holm, and S.L. Buhl (2004). What causes cost overrun in transport infrastructure projects? *Transport Reviews*, 24(1), 3–18.
- Flyvbjerg, B., M.K.S. Holm, and S.L. Buhl (2005). How (in)accurate are demand forecasts in public works projects? The case of transportation. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 71(2), 131–46.
- Forss, K., M. Marra, and R. Schwartz (eds) (2011). *Evaluating the Complex: Attribution, Contribution, and Beyond*. New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.
- Forsythe, P.J. (2012). Profiling customer perceived service quality expectations in made-to-order housing construction in Australia. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 19(6), 587–609.
- François, C. (2004). *International Encyclopedia of Systems and Cybernetics*. München: K.G. Sauer Verlag.
- Friese, S. (2012). *Qualitative Data Analysis with ATLAS.ti*. London: Sage Publications.
- Fritzsche, E. (2014). Making hermeneutics explicit: how QCA supports an insightful dialogue between theory and cases. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 17(4), 403–26.
- Gauthier, J.A., E.D. Widmer, P. Bucher, and C. Notredame (2010). Multichannel sequence analysis applied to social science data. *Sociological Methodology*, 40(1), 1–38.
- George, A.L., and A. Bennett (2005). *Case Studies and Theory Development in the Social Sciences*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Geraldi, J., H. Maylor, and T. Williams (2011). Now, let's make it really

- complex (complicated): A systematic review of the complexities of projects. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 31(9), 966–90.
- Gerrits, L.M. (2012). *Punching Clouds: An Introduction to the Complexity of Public Decision-Making*. Litchfield Park: Emergent Publications.
- Gerrits, L.M., and P. Marks (2014). Vastgeklonken aan de Fyra: Een padafhankelijkheidsanalyse van de onvermijdelijke keuze voor de falende flitstrein. *Bestuurskunde*, 23(1), 55–64.
- Gerrits, L.M., and P. Marks (2017). *Understanding Collective Decision Making: A Fitness Landscape Model Approach*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Gerrits, L.M., and S. Verweij (2013). Critical realism as a meta-framework for understanding the relationships between complexity and qualitative comparative analysis. *Journal of Critical Realism*, 12(2), 166–82.
- Gerrits, L.M., and S. Verweij (2015). Taking stock of complexity in evaluation: A discussion of three recent publications. *Evaluation*, 21(4), 481–91.
- Gerrits, L.M., and S. Verweij (2016). Qualitative comparative analysis as a method for evaluating complex cases: An overview of literature and a stepwise guide with empirical application. *Zeitschrift für Evaluation*, 15(1), 7–22.
- Gerrits, L.M., P. Marks, and M. Böhme (2015). The development and failure of the Dutch ‘Fyra’ high-speed project. *Railway Update*, 146–8.
- Goertz, G., and J. Mahoney (2009). Scope in case study research. In D.S. Byrne and C.C. Ragin (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods* (pp. 307–17). London: Sage.
- Goertz, G., and J. Mahoney (2012). *A Tale of Two Cultures: Qualitative and Quantitative Research in the Social Sciences*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Gras, C. (2011). Rol Rijkswaterstaat in infraprojecten sterk veranderd: Grensverschuiving richting markt en projectomgeving. *Projectie* (6), 20–23.
- Greckhamer, T., V.F. Misangyi, H. Elms, and R. Lacey (2008). Using qualitative comparative analysis in strategic management research: An examination of combinations of industry, corporate, and business-unit effects. *Organizational Research Methods*, 11(4), 695–726.
- Greene, J.C. (1998). Qualitative program evaluation: Practice and promise. In N.K. Denzin and Y.S. Lincoln (eds), *Collecting and Interpreting Qualitative Materials* (pp. 372–99). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Grofman, B., and C.Q. Schneider (2009). An introduction to crisp-set QCA, with a comparison to binary logistic regression. *Political Research Quarterly*, 62(4), 662–72.

- Gross, M., and M. Garvin (2011). Structuring PPP toll-road contracts to achieve public pricing objectives. *Engineering Project Organization Journal*, 1(2), 143–56.
- Haesebrouck, T. (2016). The added value of multi-value qualitative comparative analysis. *Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 17(1).
- Hak, T., F. Jaspers, and J. Dul (2013). The analysis of temporally ordered configurations: Challenges and solutions. In P.C. Fiss, B. Cambré, and A. Marx (eds), *Configurational Theory and Methods in Organizational Research* (pp. 107–27). Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Hamidov, A., A. Thiel, and D. Zikos (2015). Institutional design in transformation: A comparative study of local irrigation governance in Uzbekistan. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 53, 175–91.
- Hartmann, A., and A. Dorée (2015). Learning between projects: More than sending messages in bottles. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(2), 341–51.
- Harvey, D.L. (2009). Complexity and case. In D.S. Byrne and C.C. Ragin (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods* (pp. 15–38). London: Sage.
- Heeres, N. (2017). *Towards Area-Oriented Approaches in Infrastructure Planning: Development of National Highway Networks in a Local Spatial Context*. Groningen: University of Groningen.
- Heeres, N., T. Tillema, and J. Arts (2012). Integration in Dutch planning of motorways: From ‘line’ towards ‘area-oriented’ approaches. *Transport Policy*, 24, 148–58.
- Herrmann, A., and L. Cronqvist (2006). Contradictions in qualitative comparative analysis (QCA): Ways out of the dilemma. *EUI Working Papers* (6).
- Hertogh, M.J.C.M., and E. Westerveld (2010). *Playing with Complexity: Management and Organisation of Large Infrastructure Projects*. Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Het Financieele Dagblad (2014, July 8). Aannemers worstelen met A15 Maasvlakte-Vaanplein. *Het Financieele Dagblad*.
- Hino, A. (2009). Time-series QCA: Studying temporal change through Boolean analysis. *Sociological Theory and Methods*, 24(2), 247–65.
- Hooimeijer, P., H. Kroon, and J. Luttik (2001). *Kwaliteit in Meervoud: Conceptualisering en Operationalisering van Ruimtelijke Kwaliteit voor Meervoudig Ruimtegebruik*. Gouda: Habiforum.
- Hoppe, R. (1999). Policy analysis, science and politics: From ‘speaking truth to power’ to ‘making sense together.’ *Science and Public Policy*, 26(3), 201–10.
- Hoppe, R. (2003). Werken op de grens tussen wetenschap en politiek: Naar een typologie van grensarrangementen. *Beleidswetenschap*, 17(2), 144–70.

- Houtekamer, C. (2015, April 23). Bluffen en pokeren om de A15. *NRC*.
- Hulsker, W., M. Wienhoven, M. Van Diest, and S. Buijs (2011). *Evaluatie Ontwerpprocessen: Ruimte voor de Rivier*. Rotterdam: Ecorys.
- Jang, W., H.W. You, and S.H. Han (2015). Quantitative decision making model for carbon reduction in road construction projects using green technologies. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 7(8), 11240–59.
- Järvinen, J., J.A. Lamberg, and L. Pietinalho (2012). The fall and the fragmentation of national clusters: Cluster evolution in the paper and pulp industry. *Journal of Forest Economics*, 18(3), 218–41.
- Jordan, E., M.E. Gross, A.N. Javernick-Will, and M.J. Garvin (2011). Use and misuse of qualitative comparative analysis. *Construction Management and Economics*, 29(11), 1159–73.
- Kaminsky, J.A., and A.N. Javernick-Will (2014). The internal social sustainability of sanitation infrastructure. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 48(17), 10028–35.
- Kelle, U. (2007). The development of categories: Different approaches in grounded theory. In A. Bryant and K. Charmaz (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Grounded Theory* (pp. 191–213). London: Sage Publications.
- Kennisinstituut voor Mobiliteitsbeleid (2009). *Na Het Knippen van Het Lint: Het Ex Post Evalueren van Infrastructuur*. Den Haag: Ministerie van Verkeer en Waterstaat.
- Kerseboom, J. (2008). Leren van evalueren: Over het niet-gebruik van beleidsinformatie. *Bestuurskunde*, 17(2), 41–6.
- Khan, J., F. Petterson, and B. Holmberg (2014). Constructive conflicts in the case of the Öresund Link. *Planning Theory and Practice*, 15(3), 418–23.
- Klijn, E.H. (2009). Public–private partnerships in the Netherlands: Policy, projects and lessons. *Economic Affairs*, 29(1), 26–32.
- Klijn, E.H., and J.F.M. Koppenjan (2012). Governance network theory: Past, present and future. *Policy and Politics*, 40(4), 587–606.
- Klijn, E.H., and J.F.M. Koppenjan (2016). *Governance Networks in the Public Sector*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Klijn, E.H., J. Edelenbos, M. Kort, and M. Van Twist (2008). Facing management choices: An analysis of managerial choices in 18 complex environmental public–private partnership projects. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 74(2), 251–82.
- Klijn, E.H., B. Steijn, and J. Edelenbos (2010). The impact of network management on outcomes in governance networks. *Public Administration*, 88(4), 1063–82.
- Klijn, F., D. De Bruin, M.C. De Hoog, S. Jansen, and D.F. Sijmons (2013). Design quality of Room-for-the-River measures in the Netherlands: Role and assessment of the quality team (Q-team). *International Journal of River Basin Management*, 11(3), 287–99.

- Koppenjan, J.F.M., and E.H. Klijn (2004). *Managing Uncertainties in Networks: A Network Approach to Problem Solving and Decision Making*. London: Routledge.
- Kort, M., S. Verweij, and E.H. Klijn (2016). In search for effective public–private partnerships: An assessment of the impact of organizational form and managerial strategies in urban regeneration partnerships using fsQCA. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 34(5), 777–94.
- Kostka, G., and J. Fiedler (2016). *Large Infrastructure Projects in Germany: Between Ambition and Realities*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Krippendorff, K. (2004). Reliability in content analysis: Some common misconceptions and recommendations. *Human Communication Research*, 30(3), 411–33.
- Lam, W.F., and E. Ostrom (2010). Analyzing the dynamic complexity of development interventions: Lessons from an irrigation experiment in Nepal. *Policy Sciences*, 43(1), 1–25.
- Leeuw, F.L. (2003). Reconstructing program theories: Methods available and problems to be solved. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 24(1), 5–20.
- Lehtonen, M. (2014). Evaluating megaprojects: From the ‘iron triangle’ to network mapping. *Evaluation*, 20(3), 278–95.
- Lehtonen, M., P.B. Joly, and L. Aparicio (eds) (2017). *Socioeconomic Evaluation of Megaprojects: Dealing with Uncertainties*. New York: Routledge.
- Lenferink, S., T. Tillema, and J. Arts (2013). Towards sustainable infrastructure development through integrated contracts: Experiences with inclusiveness in Dutch infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 31(4), 615–27.
- Li, Y., J.F.M. Koppenjan, and S. Verweij (2016). Governing environmental conflicts in China: Under what conditions do local governments compromise? *Public Administration*, 94(3), 806–22.
- Lincoln, Y.S., and E.G. Guba (1985). *Naturalistic Inquiry*. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
- Liyanage, C., and F. Villalba-Romero (2015). Measuring success of PPP transport projects: A cross-case analysis of toll roads. *Transport Reviews*, 35(2), 140–61.
- Lombard, M., J. Snyder-Duch, and C.C. Bracken (2002). Content analysis in mass communication: Assessment and reporting of intercoder reliability. *Human Communication Research*, 28(4), 587–604.
- Mackie, J.L. (1965). Causes and conditions. *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 2(4), 245–64.
- Marks, P., and L.M. Gerrits (2017). Evaluating technological progress in public policies: The case of the high-speed railways in the Netherlands. *Complexity, Governance and Networks*, 48–62.

- Marshall, S. (2009). *Cities, Design and Evolution*. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Marx, A., and A. Duşa (2011). Crisp-set qualitative comparative analysis (csQCA), contradictions and consistency benchmarks for model specification. *Methodological Innovations Online*, 6(2), 103–48.
- McAdam, D., H.S. Boudet, J. Davis, R.J. Orr, W. Richard Scott, and R.E. Levitt (2010). “Site fights”: Explaining opposition to pipeline projects in the developing world. *Sociological Forum*, 25(3), 401–27.
- Marrow, E.W. (1988). *Understanding the Outcomes of Megaprojects: A Quantitative Analysis of Very Large Civilian Projects*. Santa Monica: Rand Corporation.
- Metze, M. (2010). *Veranderend Getij: Rijkswaterstaat in Crisis: De Bouwfraude, de Heeren Zeventien, een Nieuwe Man, de Coup, Het Verzet, de ‘Generaal’, de Managersrevolutie, een Nieuwe Koers: Het Verhaal van Binnenuit*. Amsterdam: Uitgeverij Balans.
- Miller, R., and D.R. Lessard (2000). *The Strategic Management of Large Engineering Projects: Shaping Institutions, Risks, and Governance*. Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Minister van Verkeer and Waterstaat (2010). *Tracébesluit A15 Maasvlakte-Vaanplein*. Ministerie van Verkeer and Waterstaat.
- Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu (2014). *MIRT projectenoverzicht 2015*. Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu.
- Mitchell, S. (2009). Complexity and explanation in the social sciences. In C. Mantzavinos (ed.), *Philosophy of the Social Sciences: Philosophical Theory and Scientific Practice* (pp. 130–45). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mjøset, L. (2009). The contextualist approach to social science methodology. In D.S. Byrne and C.C. Ragin (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods* (pp. 39–68). London: Sage.
- Morçöl, G. (2012). *A Complexity Theory for Public Policy*. New York: Routledge.
- Mostafavi, A., D. Abraham, and J. Sinfield (2014). Innovation in infrastructure project finance: A typology for conceptualization. *International Journal of Innovation Science*, 6(3), 127–44.
- Mowles, C. (2014). Complex, but not quite complex enough: The turn to the complexity sciences in evaluation scholarship. *Evaluation*, 20(2), 160–175.
- Neerlands Diep (2016). *Evaluatie Projectmanagement A15 Maasvlakte-Vaanplein met Behulp van de Neerlands Diep-Spiegel*. Neerlands Diep.
- Never, B., and J. Betz (2014). Comparing the climate policy performance of emerging economies. *World Development*, 59, 1–15.
- Nijkamp, P., M. Van der Burch, and G. Vindigni (2002). A comparative institutional evaluation of public–private partnerships in Dutch urban land-use and revitalisation projects. *Urban Studies*, 39(10), 1865–80.

- Nijland, H., P.M. Wortelboer-Van Donselaar, J.A.C. Korteweg, and D. Snellen (2010). *Met de Kennis van Nu: Leren van Evalueren: Een Casestudy: A5 Verlengde Westrandweg*. Den Haag/Bilthoven: Planbureau voor de Leefomgeving and Kennisinstituut voor Mobiliteitsbeleid.
- Noordegraaf, M., and T. Abma (2003). Management by measurement? Public management practices amidst ambiguity. *Public Administration*, 81(4), 853–71.
- Olsen, W. (2014). Comment: The usefulness of QCA under realist assumptions. *Sociological Methodology*, 44(1), 101–7.
- Osabutey, E.L.C., and Z. Jin (2016). Factors influencing technology and knowledge transfer: Configurational recipes for Sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(11), 5390–5.
- Parsons, D.W. (1995). *Public Policy: An Introduction to the Theory and Practice of Policy Analysis*. Edward Elgar.
- Patton, M.Q. (2011). *Developmental Evaluation: Applying Complexity Concepts to Enhance Innovation and Use*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Pattyn, V., and S. Verweij (2014). Beleidsevaluaties tussen methode en praktijk: Naar een meer realistische evaluatiebenadering. *Burger, Bestuur and Beleid*, 8(4), 260–67.
- Pattyn, V., L.M. Gerrits, and S. Verweij (2015). Qualitative comparative analysis: Meer behorend bij de kwalitatieve dan de kwantitatieve benadering. *Kwalon*, 20(3), 26–33.
- Pattyn, V., A. Molenveld, and B. Befani (2017). Qualitative comparative analysis as an evaluation tool: Lessons from an application in development cooperation. *American Journal of Evaluation*, doi: 10.1177/1098214017710502.
- Pawson, R., and N. Tilley (1997). *Realistic Evaluation*. London: Sage.
- Peters, D. (2010). Digging through the heart of reunified Berlin: Unbundling the decision-making process for the Tiergarten-Tunnel mega-project. *European Journal of Transport and Infrastructure Research*, 10(1), 89–102.
- Pinto, J.K., and D.P. Slevin (1989). Project champion: Key to implementation success. *Project Management Journal*, 20(4), 15–20.
- Port of Rotterdam (2015). Haven in cijfers: Een schat aan informatie: Make it happen. *Havenbedrijf Rotterdam*.
- Prigogine, I. (1997). *The End of Certainty: Time, Chaos, and the New Laws of Nature*. New York: The Free Press.
- Projectgroep Dijkteruglegging Lent (2007). *Ruimtelijk Plan: Dijkteruglegging Lent*. Nijmegen: Gemeente Nijmegen.
- Q-team (2012). *Kwaliteitsteam Ruimte voor de Rivier: Jaarverslag 2009, 2010, 2011*. Utrecht: Programmadirectie Ruimte voor de Rivier.
- Ragin, C.C. (1987). *The Comparative Method: Moving Beyond Qualitative and Quantitative Strategies*. Berkeley: University of California Press.

- Ragin, C.C. (1992). Introduction: Cases of "What is a case?" In C.C. Ragin and H.S. Becker (eds), *What is a Case? Exploring the Foundations of Social Inquiry* (pp. 1–17). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ragin, C.C. (1998). The logic of qualitative comparative analysis. *International Review of Social History*, 43(S6), 105–24.
- Ragin, C.C. (2000). *Fuzzy-Set Social Science*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Ragin, C.C. (2006). Set relations in social research: Evaluating their consistency and coverage. *Political Analysis*, 14(3), 291–310.
- Ragin, C.C. (2008a). Measurement versus calibration: A set-theoretic approach. In J.M. Box-Steffensmeier, H.E. Brady, and D. Collier (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of Political Methodology* (pp. 174–98). Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ragin, C.C. (2008b). *Redesigning Social Inquiry: Fuzzy Sets and Beyond*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Ragin, C.C. (2008c). *User's Guide to Fuzzy-Set/Qualitative Comparative Analysis*. Tucson: University of Arizona.
- Ragin, C.C. (2009). Qualitative comparative analysis using fuzzy sets (fsQCA). In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 87–121). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Ragin, C.C. (2014). Comment: Lucas and Szatrowski in critical perspective. *Sociological Methodology*, 44(1), 80–94.
- Ragin, C.C., and L.M. Amoroso (2011). *Constructing Social Research: The Unity and Diversity of Method*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Ragin, C.C., and H.S. Becker (1992). *What is a Case? Exploring the Foundations of Social Inquiry*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ragin, C.C., and S. Davey (2014). *Fs/QCA* (Version 2.5/3.0). Irvine: University of California.
- Ragin, C.C., and P.C. Fiss (2008). Net-effects versus configurations: An empirical demonstration. In C.C. Ragin (ed.), *Redesigning Social Inquiry: Fuzzy Sets and Beyond* (pp. 190–212). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Ragin, C.C., and J. Sonnett (2005). Between complexity and parsimony: Limited diversity, counterfactual cases, and comparative analysis. In S. Kropp and M. Minkenberg (eds), *Vergleichen in der Politik-Wissenschaft* (pp. 180–97). Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Ragin, C.C., and J. Sonnett (2008). Limited diversity and counterfactual cases. In C.C. Ragin (ed.), *Redesigning Social Inquiry: Fuzzy Sets and Beyond* (pp. 147–59). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Ragin, C.C., and S.I. Strand (2008). Using qualitative comparative

- analysis to study causal order: Comment on Caren and Panofsky (2005). *Sociological Methods and Research*, 36(4), 431–41.
- Rantala, K., and E. Hellström (2001). Qualitative comparative analysis and a hermeneutic approach to interview data. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 4(2), 87–100.
- Reason, P., and H. Bradbury (2001). *Handbook of Action Research: Participative Inquiry and Practice*. London: Sage Publications.
- Rescher, N. (1998). *Complexity: A Philosophical Overview*. New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.
- Reynaers, A., and S. Verweij (2014). Kritisch kijken naar kansen: De schaduwzijden van DBFMO. *ROmagazine*, 32(4), 32–4.
- Rihoux, B. (2003). Bridging the gap between the qualitative and quantitative worlds? A retrospective and prospective view on qualitative comparative analysis. *Field Methods*, 15(4), 351–65.
- Rihoux, B. (2013). Qualitative comparative analysis (QCA), anno 2013: Reframing The Comparative Method's seminal statements. *Swiss Political Science Review*, 19(2), 233–45.
- Rihoux, B., and G. De Meur (2009). Crisp-set qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 33–68). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., and B. Lobe (2009). The case for qualitative comparative analysis (QCA): Adding leverage for thick cross-case comparison. In D.S. Byrne and C.C. Ragin (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Case-Based Methods* (pp. 222–42). London: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., and C.C. Ragin (eds) (2009a). *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., and C.C. Ragin (2009b). Introduction. In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. xvii–xxv). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., B. Joly, and R. Dandoy (2007). *Deliverable 8. The Key Determinants of Community Conflict Escalation and De-escalation in Europe: A Systematic Comparative Analysis (QCA)*. Louvain-la-Neuve: Université Catholique de Louvain.
- Rihoux, B., C.C. Ragin, S. Yamasaki, and D. Bol (2009). Conclusions: The way(s) ahead. In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 167–77). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Rihoux, B., I. Rezsöhazi, and D. Bol (2011). Qualitative comparative

- analysis (QCA) in public policy analysis: An extensive review. *German Policy Studies*, 7(3), 9–82.
- Rihoux, B., P. Álamos-Concha, D. Bol, A. Marx, and I. Rezsözhazy (2013). From niche to mainstream method? A comprehensive mapping of QCA applications in journal articles from 1984 to 2011. *Political Research Quarterly*, 66(1), 175–84.
- Rijkswaterstaat (2012). *Rijkswaterstaat: About Us*. The Hague: Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment.
- Rijkswaterstaat (2014). *Samenwerken and Integraal Project Management (IPM): Een Manier van Werken voor Elk Project*.
- Rijkswaterstaat (2015). *Rijkswaterstaat Works on Keeping the Netherlands Safe, Liveable and Accessible*. The Hague: Ministry of Infrastructure and the Environment.
- Robson, C. (2002). *Real World Research: A Resource for Social Scientists and Practitioners-Researchers*. Malden: Blackwell Publishing.
- Rogers, P.J. (2008). Using programme theory to evaluate complicated and complex aspects of interventions. *Evaluation*, 14(1), 29–48.
- Rogers, P.J. (2011). Implications of complicated and complex characteristics for key tasks in evaluation. In K. Forss, M. Marra, and R. Schwartz (eds), *Evaluating the Complex: Attribution, Contribution, and Beyond* (pp. 33–52). New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers.
- Roig-Tierno, N., T.F. Gonzalez-Cruz, and J. Llopis-Martinez (2017). An overview of qualitative comparative analysis: A bibliometric analysis. *Journal of Innovation and Knowledge*, 2(1), 15–23.
- Romero, J., J. Lafont, J. Tafur, and S. Eguren (2016). Performance and value creation at United Kingdom's airports using fsQCA. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(4), 1442–5.
- Ruimte voor de Rivier (2007). *Planologische Kernbeslissing Ruimte voor de Rivier: Vastgesteld Besluit, Nota van Toelichting*. Utrecht: Projectorganisatie Ruimte voor de Rivier.
- Ruimte voor de Rivier (2015). *Ruimte voor Innovatie: Stapsgewijs of met Grote Sprongen*. Ruimte voor de Rivier.
- Ruimte voor de Rivier (2016a). *28e Voortgangsrapportage: 1 Januari 2016–30 Juni 2016*. Utrecht: Programmabureau Ruimte voor de Rivier van Rijkswaterstaat.
- Ruimte voor de Rivier (2016b). Dutch water programme Room for the River. *Ruimte voor de Rivier*.
- Sager, F. (2005). Metropolitan institutions and policy coordination: The integration of land use and transport policies in Swiss urban areas. *Governance*, 18(2), 227–56.
- Sager, F. (2006). Policy coordination in the European metropolis: A meta-analysis. *West European Politics*, 29(3), 433–60.

- Sager, F. (2007). Making transport policy work: Polity, policy, politics and systematic review. *Policy and Politics*, 35(2), 269–88.
- Sager, F., and C. Andereggen (2012). Dealing with complex causality in realist synthesis: The promise of qualitative comparative analysis. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 33(1), 60–78.
- Sanderson, I. (2000). Evaluation in complex policy systems. *Evaluation*, 6(4), 433–54.
- Sanderson, J. (2012). Risk, uncertainty and governance in megaprojects: A critical discussion of alternative explanations. *International Journal of Project Management*, 30(4), 432–43.
- Sayer, A. (1992). *Method in Social Science: A Realist Approach*. London: Routledge.
- Sayer, A. (2000). *Realism and Social Science*. London: Sage.
- Schneider, C.Q. (2016). Real differences and overlooked similarities: Set-methods in comparative perspective. *Comparative Political Studies*, 49(6), 781–92.
- Schneider, C.Q., and I. Rohlfing (2013). Combining QCA and process tracing in set-theoretic multi-method research. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 42(4), 559–97.
- Schneider, C.Q., and I. Rohlfing (2016). Case studies nested in fuzzy-set QCA on sufficiency: Formalizing case selection and causal inference. *Sociological Methods and Research*, 45(3), 526–68.
- Schneider, C.Q., and C. Wagemann (2006). Reducing complexity in qualitative comparative analysis (QCA): Remote and proximate factors and the consolidation of democracy. *European Journal of Political Research*, 45(5), 751–86.
- Schneider, C.Q., and C. Wagemann (2010). Standards of good practice in qualitative comparative analysis (QCA) and fuzzy-sets. *Comparative Sociology*, 9(3), 397–418.
- Schneider, C.Q., and C. Wagemann (2012). *Set-Theoretic Methods for the Social Sciences: A Guide to Qualitative Comparative Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schneider, C.Q., and C. Wagemann (2013). Doing justice to logical remainders in QCA: Moving beyond the standard analysis. *Political Research Quarterly*, 66(1), 211–20.
- Schwartz-Shea, P., and D. Yanow (2012). *Interpretive Research Design: Concepts and Processes*. New York: Routledge.
- Scott, W.R., and J.W. Meyer (1991). The organization of societal sectors: Propositions and early evidence. In W.W. Powell and P.J. DiMaggio (eds), *The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis* (pp. 108–42). Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Seny Kan, A.K., E. Adegbite, S. El Omari, and M. Abdellatif (2016). On

- the use of qualitative comparative analysis in management. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(4), 1458–63.
- Short, J., and A. Kopp (2005). Transport infrastructure: Investment and planning: Policy and research aspects. *Transport Policy*, 12(4), 360–7.
- Smithson, M., and J. Verkuilen (2006). *Fuzzy Set Theory: Applications in the Social Sciences*. Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Smits, K. (2013). *Cross Culture Work: Practices of Collaboration in the Panama Canal Expansion Program*. Delft: Next Generation Infrastructures.
- Smyth, H.J., and P.W.G. Morris (2007). An epistemological evaluation of research into projects and their management: Methodological issues. *International Journal of Project Management*, 25(4), 423–36.
- Söderholm, A. (2008). Project management of unexpected events. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(1), 80–86.
- Spekkink, W.A.H. (2013). Institutional capacity building for industrial symbiosis in the Canal Zone of Zeeland in the Netherlands: A process analysis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 52, 342–55.
- Spekkink, W.A.H. (2016). *Industrial Symbiosis as a Social Process: Developing Theory and Methods for the Longitudinal Investigation of Social Dynamics in the Emergence and Development of Industrial Symbiosis*. Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Spekkink, W.A.H., and F.A.A. Boons (2016). The emergence of collaborations. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 26(4), 613–30.
- Stern, E., N. Stame, J. Mayne, K. Forss, R. Davies, and B. Befani (2012). *Broadening the Range of Designs and Methods for Impact Evaluations: Report of a study commissioned by the Department for International Development*. Glasgow: Department for International Development.
- Strauss, A.L., and J.M. Corbin (1994). Grounded theory methodology: An overview. In N.K. Denzin and Y.S. Lincoln (eds), *Handbook of Qualitative Research* (pp. 273–85). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Strübing, J. (2014). *Grounded Theory: Zur Sozialtheoretischen und Epistemologischen Fundierung eines Pragmatistischen Forschungsstils*. Wiesbaden: VS Verlag für Sozialwissenschaften.
- Taket, A., and L. White (1997). Working with heterogeneity: A pluralist strategy for evaluation. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 14(2), 101–11.
- Teisman, G.R. (2005). *Publiek Management op de Grens van Chaos en Orde: Over Leidinggeven en Organiseren in Complexiteit*. Den Haag: Academic Service.
- Teisman, G.R., A. Van Buuren, and L.M. Gerrits (eds) (2009a). *Managing Complex Governance Systems: Dynamics, Self-Organization and Coevolution in Public Investments*. New York: Routledge.

- Teisman, G.R., E. Westerveld, and M.J.C.M. Hertogh (2009b). Appearances and sources of process dynamics: The case of infrastructure development in the UK and the Netherlands. In G.R. Teisman, A. Van Buuren, and L.M. Gerrits (eds), *Managing Complex Governance Systems: Dynamics, Self-Organization and Coevolution in Public Investments* (pp. 56–75). New York: Routledge.
- Thiem, A. (2013). Clearly crisp, and not fuzzy: A reassessment of the (putative) pitfalls of multi-value QCA. *Field Methods*, 25(2), 197–207.
- Thiem, A., and A. Duşa (2013a). Boolean minimization in social science research: A review of current software for qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). *Social Science Computer Review*, 31(4), 505–21.
- Thiem, A., and A. Duşa (2013b). *Qualitative Comparative Analysis with R: A User's Guide*. New York: Springer.
- Thiem, A., M. Baumgartner, and D. Bol (2016a). Still lost in translation! A correction of three misunderstandings between configurational comparativists and regression analysts. *Comparative Political Studies*, 49(6), 742–74.
- Thiem, A., M. Baumgartner, A. Duşa, and R. Spoehel (2016b). *QCApro: Professional Functionality for Performing and Evaluating Qualitative Comparative Analysis* (Version 1.1-1).
- Thomas, J., and T. Mengel (2008). Preparing project managers to deal with complexity: Advanced project management education. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(3), 304–15.
- Tóth, Z., S.C. Henneberg, and P. Naudé (2017). Addressing the ‘qualitative’ in fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis: The generic membership evaluation template. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 63, 192–204.
- Treib, O., H. Bähr, and G. Falkner (2007). Modes of governance: Towards a conceptual clarification. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 14(1), 1–20.
- Ulrich, W. (1983). *Critical Heuristics of Social Planning: A New Approach to Practical Philosophy*. Bern: Paul Haupt.
- Van Buuren, A. (2006). *Competente Besluitvorming: Het Management van Meervoudige Kennis in Ruimtelijke Ontwikkelingsprocessen*. Den Haag: Lemma.
- Van den Brink, M. (2009). *Rijkswaterstaat on the Horns of a Dilemma*. Delft: Uitgeverij Eburon.
- Van Eeten, M., and E. Ten Heuvelhof (1998). “Serviceable truth”: De proces-contingente inzet van wetenschappelijke expertise. In R. Hoppe and A. Peterse (eds), *Bouwstenen voor Argumentatieve Beleidsanalyse* (pp. 161–74). 's-Gravenhage: Elsevier Bedrijfsinformatie.
- Van Evera, S. (1997). *Guide to Methods for Students of Political Science*. New York: Cornell University Press.

- Van Marrewijk, A., S.R. Clegg, T.S. Pitsis, and M. Veenswijk (2008). Managing public–private megaprojects: Paradoxes, complexity, and project design. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(6), 591–600.
- Van Twist, M., E. Ten Heuvelhof, M. Kort, M. Olde Wolbers, C. Van den Berg, and N. Bressers (2011). *Tussenevaluatie PKB Ruimte voor de Rivier: Eindrapportage*. Rotterdam: Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam and Berenschot.
- Varone, F., B. Rihoux, and A. Marx (2006). A new method for policy innovation? Longstanding challenges and the possibilities of qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). In B. Rihoux and H. Grimm (eds), *Innovative Comparative Methods for Policy Analysis: Beyond the Quantitative-Qualitative Divide* (pp. 263–84). New York: Springer.
- Vennix, J.A.M. (1996). *Group Model Building: Facilitating Team Learning Using System Dynamics*. Chichester: Wiley.
- Verbraeken, H., and A. Weissink (2014, October 7). Nieuwe Botlek-brug zorgt voor grootste kostenoverschrijding A15-project. *Het Financieele Dagblad*.
- Verkeerskunde (2012). Kolossaal ondergronds transport in Rotterdamse haven: Uitbreiding buizentransport biedt kansen, maar is geen Haarlemmerolie. *Verkeerskunde* (5), 32.
- Verweij, S. (2015a). Achieving satisfaction when implementing PPP transportation infrastructure projects: A qualitative comparative analysis of the A15 highway DBFM project. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(1), 189–200.
- Verweij, S. (2015b). *Once the Shovel Hits the Ground: Evaluating the Management of Complex Implementation Processes of Public–Private Partnership Infrastructure Projects with Qualitative Comparative Analysis*. Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.
- Verweij, S. (2015c). Producing satisfactory outcomes in the implementation phase of PPP infrastructure projects: A fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis of 27 road constructions in the Netherlands. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(8), 1877–87.
- Verweij, S. (2016). DBFM: Als de schop de grond is ingegaan: Management en publiek-private samenwerking in de uitvoering van weginfrastructuurprojecten. *Verkeerskunde*, 67(2), 43.
- Verweij, S. (2017). Addressing the evaluation-implementation gap in infrastructure megaproject research with qualitative comparative analysis. In M. Lehtonen, P.B. Joly, and L. Aparicio (eds), *Socioeconomic Evaluation of Megaprojects: Dealing with Uncertainties* (pp. 220–39). Abingdon: Routledge.
- Verweij, S., and L.M. Gerrits (2011). MIRT is niet vanzelf sneller en slimmer. *ROmagazine*, 29(5), 32–3.

- Verweij, S., and L.M. Gerrits (2012). Assessing the applicability of qualitative comparative analysis for the evaluation of complex projects. In L.M. Gerrits and P. Marks (eds), *Compact I: Public Administration in Complexity* (pp.93–117). Litchfield Park: Emergent Publications.
- Verweij, S., and L.M. Gerrits (2013). Understanding and researching complexity with qualitative comparative analysis: Evaluating transportation infrastructure projects. *Evaluation*, 19(1), 40–55.
- Verweij, S., and L.M. Gerrits (2014). Managing unplanned events in large infrastructure projects: Results from an in-depth comparative case evaluation. In J.W. Meek and K.S. Marshall (eds), *Compact II: Administrative Strategies for Complex Governance Systems* (pp. 81–108). Litchfield Park: Emergent Publications.
- Verweij, S., and L.M. Gerrits (2015). How satisfaction is achieved in the implementation phase of large transportation infrastructure projects: A qualitative comparative analysis into the A2 tunnel project. *Public Works Management and Policy*, 20(1), 5–28.
- Verweij, S., E.H. Klijn, J. Edelenbos, and A. Van Buuren (2013). What makes governance networks work? A fuzzy set qualitative comparative analysis of 14 Dutch spatial planning projects. *Public Administration*, 91(4), 1035–55.
- Verweij, S., I.F. Van Meerkerk, J.F.M. Koppenjan, and H. Geerlings (2014). Institutional interventions in complex urban systems: Coping with boundary issues in urban planning projects. *Emergence: Complexity and Organization*, 16(1), 7–23.
- Verweij, S., I.F. Van Meerkerk, and I.A. Korthagen (2015). Reasons for contract changes in implementing Dutch transportation infrastructure projects: An empirical exploration. *Transport Policy*, 37(1), 195–202.
- Verweij, S., G.R. Teisman, and L.M. Gerrits (2017). Implementing public–private partnerships: How management responses to events produce (un)satisfactory outcomes. *Public Works Management and Policy*, 22(2), 119–39.
- Vidal, L., and F. Marle (2008). Understanding project complexity: Implications on project management. *Kybernetes*, 37(8), 1094–110.
- Vink, M.P., and O. Van Vliet (2009). Not quite crisp, not yet fuzzy? Assessing the potentials and pitfalls of multi-value QCA. *Field Methods*, 21(3), 265–89.
- Vis, B. (2011). Under which conditions does spending on active labor market policies increase? An fsQCA analysis of 53 governments between 1985 and 2003. *European Political Science Review*, 3(2), 229–52.
- Wagemann, C., and C.Q. Schneider (2015). Transparency standards in qualitative comparative analysis. *Qualitative and Multi-Method Research*, 13, 38–42.

- Wagemann, C., J. Buche, and M.B. Siewert (2016). QCA and business research: Work in progress or a consolidated agenda? *Journal of Business Research*, 69(7), 2531–40.
- Wagenaar, H. (2007). Governance, complexity, and democratic participation: How citizens and public officials harness the complexities of neighborhood decline. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 37(1), 17–50.
- Walton, M. (2014). Applying complexity theory: A review to inform evaluation design. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 45, 119–26.
- Ward, E.J., P.G. Wright, and H.T. Dimitriou (2014). Perspectives on “success” from the UK Channel Tunnel rail link project. *Planning Theory and Practice*, 15(3), 423–8.
- Weiss, R.S. (1994). *Learning from Strangers: The Art and Method of Qualitative Interview Studies*. New York: The Free Press.
- Wiering, M.A., and B.J.M. Arts (2006). Discursive shifts in Dutch river management: ‘Deep’ institutional change or adaptation strategy? In R.S.E.W. Leuven, A.M.J. Ragas, A.J.M. Smits, and G. Van der Velde (eds), *Living Rivers: Trends and Challenges in Science and Management* (pp. 327–38). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Wolf-Branigin, M. (2013). *Using Complexity Theory for Research and Program Evaluation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Yamasaki, S., and B. Rihoux (2009). A commented review of applications. In B. Rihoux and C.C. Ragin (eds), *Configurational Comparative Methods: Qualitative Comparative Analysis (QCA) and Related Techniques* (pp. 123–45). Los Angeles: Sage.
- Yin, R.K. (1989). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications.
- Yin, R.K. (2009). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods* (4th edn). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Yin, R.K. (2013). Validity and generalization in future case study evaluations. *Evaluation*, 19(3), 321–32.
- Zeng, S.X., H.Y. Ma, H. Lin, R.C. Zeng, and V.W.Y. Tam (2015). Social responsibility of major infrastructure projects in China. *International Journal of Project Management*, 33(3), 537–48.
- Zevenbergen, C., J. Rijke, S. Van Herk, J. Ludy, and R. Ashley (2013). Room for the River: International relevance. *Water Governance*, 2, 24–31.